STATE OF ALABAMA

WRIT OF CORAM NOBIS MANDAMUS

RE: CA# 06-339

-V-

RECEISUPPORTING EVIDENCE

MARVIN.C. THOMPSON

2007 APR 13 A 9: 20

PETITIONER RESPECTFULLY COMES NOW STRICT COURT

WITH DOCUMENTATION OF ADDITIONAL VERIFICATION SUPPORTING HIS REQUEST FOR WRITOF CORAM NOBIS MANDAMUS. PLEASE FIND ENCLOSED DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR SUPPRESSION OF EVIDENCE AND DISCOVERY THE ORIGINAL DISCOVERY MOTION FILED BY PREVIOUS PAID COUNSEL. ALSO FIND ENCLOSED A COPY OF THE ORIGINAL INDICIMENT PLEASE NOTICE THE DATE AND SOLE WITNESSES NAME, THE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SPEAKS TO THE ISSUE OF THE TRAFFIC CITATION THAT DISCOVERY HAS BEEN REQUESTED FOR REGARDING FORGERY, AND EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE NESCESSARY TO IMPEACH PROSECUTIONS WITNESS AND OR ANY GRAND FABRICATED TESTIMONY MADE TO THE GRAND JURY BY LT. WOOD OR THE PROSECUTION. FINALLY THE SECOND AND FINAL REQUEST MADE ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT BY THE FEDRERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS HERE AT THIS FACILITY THAT MUST BE CONSTRUED AS THE DEFENDANTS REQUEST FOR FAST AND SPEEDY TRIAL PLEASE ACCEPT THESE DOCUMENTS AS FURTHER EVIDENCE AND SUPPORT FOR DEFENDANTS POSITION AND GRANT HIS MOTION FOR THE WRIT.

DONE THIS DAY april 9th 2007
SIGNED Moun Thompson

0 Box 5000/ Vermon It co 0 Box 5000/ Vermon It co

united States District Court
office of the Clerk

Logomen, AlA 36101-071,

<u>Case 3:</u>07-cv-00299-MHT-TFM Document 3-2 Filed 04/13/2007 Page 1 of 7

INDICTMENT

THE STATE OF ALABAMA, CHAMBERS COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT, FALL TERM, 2006

- 1. The Grand Jury of Said County charges that before the finding of this Indictment, Marvin Crawford Thompson, alias, whose name is otherwise unknown to the Grand Jury, did unlawfully possess a controlled substance, to-wit: cocaine, in violation of Section 13A-12-212 of the Code of Alabama, against the peace and dignity of the State of Alabama.
- 2. The Grand Jury of Said County further charges that before the finding of this Indictment, Marvin Crawford Thompson, alias, whose name is otherwise unknown to the Grand Jury, did by means of intimidation, physical force or interference or by another independently unlawful act, intentionally obstruct, impair or hinder the administration of law or other governmental function by, to-wit: by instructing another person not to exit the car as Lt. Johnny Wood was instructing her to do, in violation of Section 13A-10-2 of the Code of Alabama, against the peace and dignity of the State of Alabama.

District/Attorney of the Fifth Judicial Circuit

Clerk

Witness my hand, this

his counsel.

I hereby certify that i have received above stated copy of Indictment from the Circuit Court Clerk of said County

and served same on

. Sheriff

20

Z

o'clock

D.S.

the Indictment presented to the Court by the Grand Jury of

Said County against

logether with all endorsements on said Indictment, and

charged with

20

that the trial is set for

I hereby certify that this is a true and complete copy of

To the Sheriff of said County:

COUNTY

THE STATE OF ALABAMA

Term, 20_

Circuit Court.

2/6/06. CONVERSAtion with Judge Milford.

on Monday I went to the Chambers County Court house clerks office where I could recieve a copy of the supposed Lieket I was issued on 11/17/05, when I recieved this ticket I noticed that the Signature did not look like My hand writing, I left went to my afterneys office UR Brent Dean, he wasn't in So before I left hateujetk I went back to the Clerks office to see if I could get a copy of the Court docket for the day I was supposed to been in court, while approaching the office I saw Judge Miltord, I asked him could I speak with him he said yes, I spoke to him about the warrant that he signed and told him about the ficket I was told I got that I never got and how my I cense had been Suspended and Kept by ht woods, he Stated that some how that ticket might have Stipped through the Crack, a I assumed he mount that it it was in lanct it should have been sent up with the Obstruction Charge Gollegay Many any altorney filed the Motion to have done, he told me to tell april to recall the warrant cell mont gomery and have them reinstate my D. L. and call havet, P.D. and tell them to hold my DL That I would be Coming to get them, give them back to me. End of Statement signed Marien Thomps Case 3:07-cv-00299-MHT-TFM Document 3-2 Filed 04/13/2007 Page 4 of 7

		00722 00 TRANS DATE/TIME: 00000000 0000 ALABAMA VE/THOMPSON MARVIN CRAVFORD	
ACT DATE	TIME CODE	COMMENTS	
12042005	: 0746 NOTE	NOTICE FLAG SET TO: "N"	(1801) 490
12052005	0746 8636	RULE 20 STATUS OF: GUILTY PLEA ASSIGNED	(TRO1) APC
12082005	0746 FINE	DEFENDANT SCHEDULED FINE: 530.00	(TROI) APC
12052005	0746 DATE	SET FOR: FLEA OCCKET ON 01/10/2004 8 0900A	(TRO1) APC
12042005	0746 ARRS	DEFENDANT ARRESTED ON: 11/17/2005	(T801) APC
12042095	0746 COST	DEFENDANT COST: 5176.00	(TRO1) AFC
12062005	0746 FILE	FILED ON: 12/05/2005 CHARGE: PROHIBITED STO	DEPING APC
12062005	0746 STAT	IMITIAL STATUS SET TO: "S" FOR BOND	(TRO1) APC
17942095	0746 ESTS	ENF STATUS SET TO: "A"	(TRO1) APC
01242004	HEUR PELL	SUSPENSION MOTICE ISSUED	(TRUB) APC
01242004	SIBF AWAR	ALIAS WARRANT ISSUED *	(TROB) AFC
02052005	1047 ARCL	ALIAS WARRANT RECALLED*	CTECS: APC
01052006	1055 6915	ENF STATUS CHANGED FROM: "A" TO: "N"	(TR01) ARC

*** "C"-CMANGES/"D"-DELETES/"A"-ADDS ENTRIES IN THE FILE ### OleMNU 02=008 03=NOX 04=05E 05=5NT 04=ENF 07=01R 08=FEE 09=PRT 10=BWD liefWD 12=00M 13=FRM 14=0FR 15=0FR 15=VPR 17=5PR 18=5NO 17=PRT 20=0FF 24=HLF DATE: 02/07/2006 TIME: 15:26:13 USER:A412 TERM:A412FRLY

TRF354

ALABAMA JUDICIAL DATA CENTER

ORGINATION ALIAS

T OF CHAMBERS COUNTY
ALIAS WARRANT TR_2

TR 2005 200722.00 JID: M CALVIN MILFORD JR

THE STATE OF ALABAMA	VS THOMPSON MARVIN CRAWFORD
TO ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER:	
YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED TO ARREST: THE AND BRING HIM/HER BEFORE THIS COURT TO FAILURE TO APPEAR ON THE CHARGE OF: PI	HOMPSON MARVIN CRAWFORD O ANSWER THE STATE FOR THE CHARGE OF ROHIBITED STOPPIN ISSUED ON 11/17/2005.
WITNESS MY HAND THIS JANUARY 24, 20	06.
BOND SET AT:	JUDGE / CLERK / MAGISTHATE
DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS: D	EFENDANT'S DESCRIFTION:
H ANETT AL BARAS 0000 B	T: 510 WT: 212 AIR: BLK EYE: BRO IRTH DATE: 11/12/1960 ACE: B SEX: M 158
	PHONE NO: (000) 000-0000
TICKET NUMBER: M 7950774 AGE	NCY/OFFICER: 0120200/S WOOD
lorged its	
NOTE: Modern Cone A	of with of Mirrormus Sont 4/6/37
REFECES SETURN:	
EXECUTED ON	BY:
() DEFENDANT ARRESTED, RELEASED ON () DEFENDANT ARRESTED. IN JAIL () DEFENDANT ARRESTED. NOT BOOKED () NOT FOUND () OTHER	BOND
i i	
SHERIFF	
Still(IT)	DFFICER
	OFFICER
	OFFICER
	OFFICER

こここうろう うくしょこまこう

OFERATOR: APC PREPARED: 01/24/2006 CDFRM

BP-S394.658 **DETAINER ACTION LETTER** MAR 03

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS

Chambers County Sheriff's Department Attn: Warrant Division 2 Lafayette Street Lafayette, AL 36862		FCI OAKDALE P.O. BOX 5050 OAKDALE, LA 71463-5050	
		02-28-2007	1
Case #	Inmate's Name Thompson, Marvin Crawford	Fed Reg No. 84302-020	DOB/SEX/RACE 11-12-1960 B/M
Aliases		Other No. FBI: 947004V SSN: 412-92-	

Second and Final Request

This office is in receipt of the following report: CLERK'S OFFICE INDICATES PENDING CHARGES FOR OBSTRUCTING A GOVERNMENTAL OPERATION AND UNLAWFUL POSSESSION OF A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE, COCAINE. Will you please investigate this report and advise what disposition, if any, has been made of the case. If subject is wanted by your department and you wish a detainer placed, it will be necessary for you to forward a certified copy of your warrant to us along with a cover letter stating your desire to have it lodged as a detainer. If you have no further interest in the subject, please forward a letter indicating so.

Refuse to Respond or Lodge defainer, Prosecutorial Medie To derive as proport 6th Mornal Prosecutorial Speedy trial.

Sincerely,

Donnie Veillon

Legal Instruments Examiner (318) 335-4070, EXT. 4180

FAX (318) 215-2688

Filed 04/13/2007 Case 3:07-cv-00299-MHT-TFM Document 3-2 Page 7 of 7 COUNTY: LE CHAMBERE ACTION: CASE: TH 2005 200721 00 JID: HOM DTO: M 7980770 DEFETS: F $_{
m F} = {
m Y}_{
m A}$ DING: AL HESPIES - VOUSC: GRAND PRIN FLAG: N EMF STE: A COMPIO: N NAME: THOMPSON HARVIN CRAVEDED 41147 ADDL 2088 SETH AVEHUE SOUTHWEE SEX: M RACE: B DOB: 11121760 SBM: NO1921829 ADDES H61: 10 11 - WOT: 212 - EYEE: BRO HAIR: Blo ZIP: Bass off CITY: LAGET: 511 41 COUNTRY: US FROME: 000 000 0000 CLEA A HAZ: M CEM: N VEH: A: 000: 128421/ ARREST: 11172005 FILED: 12052005 OFF: 5 Width - ACCY: 0100000 TYPE: H CTTY: 94 TYPE: FLAC: Y 22792 DATEL: 01102308 0: 001 TIME: 0900 A/PM: A DESC: PLEA PLEA DOCKET CHAPGE: TO27 DESC: PROMIBITED STOPPING CITE: DR2-05A-18-20: 5 SPEED: 000 LIMIT: 00 BAC: 010 END: 0800000000 SURE FTA DT: 00000000 AELEASED: 00000000 AOMIN DATE: 00000000 CRTACTS CADTE: 00000000 AFPEAL: 00000000 000000000000 CHARGE: DESC: DITE: FINE: 000000B000 FINE: LEUS: CYCO: HIST: RECOUP: COST: 0000017600 COST: OTHER BUE: 0000002200 HEAD: CMP: N SPRO: M DUE: 0000022500 WAR: A COLSTS: A FS: N UPDATED: 0124200± BY: APC

COMMENT:

*** HERE IS THE REQUESTED RECORD ###

DISMNU PRETER DESMON CREPTY DESCRE OFFICE OFFICE DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION 12=SNT 18=50F 1==NXC 15=NXN 16=NXU 17=FBM 18=VBB 19=50B 20=0FF 20=PFM 2==HLP DATE: 02/06/2006 TIME: 10:31:08 USER:A410 TERM: AHIOFRLY

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF CHAMBERS COUNTY, ALABAMA

STATE OF ALABAMA) CASE NO:DC-2005-200685			
vs.))·	FILED IN OFFICE THIS		
MARVIN THOMPSON)))		DEC 2 2 2005	
			CHARLES W. STORY CIRCUIT CLERK	
MOTION FOR DISCO	OVERY.	INSPECTION, A	ND PRODUCTION	

COMES now the defendant, MARVIN THOMPSON, by and through his attorney, and moves this Honorable Court pursuant to Rule 16 of the Alabama Rules of Criminal Procedure, to order the State's Attorney to permit the undersigned to inspect and to copy the following items:

- i) Any and all written or recorded statements made by the Defendant regarding this case, to any law enforcement officer, official or employee which are within the possession, custody, or control of the State, the existence of which is known to the State's attorney.
- ii) Any and all summaries of recorded statements or notes taken based on a monitored conversation between the Defendant and any law enforcement officer, official or employee which are within the possession, custody or control of the State, the existence of which is known to the State's Attorney.
- iii) The substance of any oral statements made by the Defendant before or after arrest to any law enforcement officer, official or employee which the Government intends to offer in evidence at the trial.
- iv) A copy of the Defendant's prior criminal record, if any, as is within the possession, custody or control of the State, the existence of which is known, or by the exercise of due diligence may become known to the attorney for the State.
- v) Any and all governmental arrest records, including records of federal, state and local police agencies, pertaining to the Defendant and all persons the State plans to call as witnesses in this case.
- vi) Any and all evidence tending to exculpate this Defendant.

- vii) All material known to the State or which through due diligence could be learned by the State which is arguably exculpatory in nature or favorable to the accused or which may lead to exculpatory material including but not limited to:
- a. evidence reflecting adversely on the credibility of any Government witness; b. evidence obtained from or relating to any Government witness which may be exculpatory in nature; and c. grand jury testimony which may be exculpatory in nature.
- viii) The names, addresses and telephone numbers of any person the State knows to possess relevant information to the charge in the indictment.
- ix) Any and all photographs, videotapes, tangible objects, papers, notes, documents or copies or portions thereof which are in the possession, custody or control of the State, which are material to the preparation of the defense of this case and/or which are intended for use by the State as evidence in chief at the trial which were obtained from or belonged to the Defendant.
- Any and all results or reports of scientific tests or experiments, or copies thereof, X) including but not limited to fingerprint test results which are within the possession, custody or control of the State, the existence of which is known, or by the exercise of due diligence may become known, to the State's Attorney and which are material to the preparation of the defense or are intended for use by the State as evidence in chief at the trial.
- All logs, transcripts, Court Orders, and/or purported authorizations for any xi) electronic, voice, or wire surveillance conducted in connection with this case or its investigation.
- Copies or transcriptions of the tapes of recorded conversations, if any, by and xii) between the Defendant and any other individual.
- All promises of immunity, leniency or preferential treatment made by the State xiii) to any witness who testified before the Grand Jury and/or who will testify at the trial of this case including but not being limited to, written plea bargain agreements, oral plea bargain agreements, oral and written agreements not to prosecute, oral and written grants of immunity, and oral or written statements to make specific recommendations to the sentencing judge as to any person who is or may be a witness in this case.

A copy of all "Jencks Acts" statements of the State's witnesses. xiv)

FILED IN OFFICE THIS San Print DEC 2 2 2005 CHARLES W STOP

Respectfully sub mit L. DEAN (DEA025) ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

OF COUNSEL:

BRENT L. DEAN ATTORNEY AT LAW

The Law Center
9 LaFayette Street North
LaFayette, Alabama 36862
p (334) 864-5293
f (334) 864-5300

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served a copy of the foregoing upon Hon. E. Paul Jones, District Attorney, Chambers County, by depositing the same, postage prepaid, United States Mail, at his proper mailing address of P.O. Box 609, LaFayette, Alabama 36862.

This the **22** day of **D1** 2005.

BENT L. DEAN (DEA025)

FILED IN OFFICE THIS

DEC 2 2 2005

CHARLES W. STORY
CIRCUIT CLERK
CHAMBERS COUNTY, ALABAMA

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF CHAMBERS COUNTY, ALABAMA

STATE OF ALABAMA)
) CASE NO:DC-2005-200686
Vs.)
	į
) }
MARVIN THOMPSON	Ś

MOTION TO TRANSFER

COMES NOW the defendant, by and through counsel, and moves this court to transfer the above styled action to circuit court and as grounds therefore, states as follows:

- The above styled case is a misdemeanor charge of obstructing governmental 1. operations.
- The misdemeanor charge is accompanied by a felony charge styled DC-2005-2. 200685 which all arose from the same arrest and subsequent search of the defendant and his vehicle.

WHEREFORE, the defendant respectfully requests that the above-styled action be transferred to accompany the companion felony charges.

FILED IN OFFICE THIS

DEC 2 2 2005

CHARLES W. STORY CIRCUIT CLERK CHAMBERS COUNTY, ALABAMA ORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF CHAMBERS COUNTY, ALABAMA

STATE OF ALABAMA
Plaintiff

v. : Case No. CC-06-339

MARVIN THOMPSON
Defendant

MOTION FOR SUPPRESSION OF EVIDENCE, AND REQUEST FOR HEARING

COMES NOW Defendant Marvin Thompson, pro se, and respectfully moves this Honorable Court pursuant to the below listed authorities due to willful failure to file on behalf of the previous appointed counsel, and states in support thereof his motion:

- 1. Defendant moves this Court for the supression of all relevant materials contained in evidence due to the unlawful detainment of the Defendant and the passanger of this vehicle by municipal police (law enforcement) officers.
- 2. Direct and willful violation of the Defendants Fourth Amendment rights against unlawful search and seizure. Under the automobile exception to the general requirement that a search warrant be obtained, the police [law enforcement] are only excused from obtaining a warrant when they have probable cause to believe that a vehicle they have stopped contains the evide= nce of a crime. The officers Lt. Wood, and Officer Clark, did not possess a resonable belief based on specific and articulable facts which, when taken together with rational inference from these facts that this defendant and his passenger were or in any way participating in illegal activities, or in the possession of any illegal contraband to gave the threshold upon which to search the defendants person, by vehicle without first obtaining a lawful search warrant.

- 3. Lt. Wood, and officer Clark acting without lawfulness to justify their actions, other than the mere harassment of the defendant, and his passengers, did use their position as law enforcement officials, drew their weapons and proceeded to intimidate the defendant and his passengers for a "traffic violation". Common knowledge of law enforcement policies and procedures state that the only time a service weapon is to be drawn is when the officer feels his life or the life of another person is directly in jeopardy; or on any felony traffic stop, with the assistance of additional resources [i.e. backup].
- 4. After using unnecessary force to intimidate the defendant and his paasengers the officers proceeded to search the defendants vehicle unlawfully, without consent, and without probable cause that it would contain contraband.
- 5. Before searching the vehicle the officers did violate the rights of the defendant and his passengers by using their position of authority to insure that the defendant could not inform his passangers of their right to remain quiet, and not to say anything to the officers.
- 6. After causing the defendant to "interfere with government operations" by telling him to "shut up" and to "not say anything to his passengers" when he was exercising his First Amendment right to the freedom of speach, to inform the other occupants of the vehicle that they were not required to say anything or to even speak to the officers, used this retalitory charge of "interference with government operations" as a precursor to unlawfully search the defendants vehicle.
- 7. A Seizure occures when a person is detained agaisnt his or her will and is unable to freely move about with some undue restriction to their movement. From the initial contact with these officers the defendant and his passengers were seized within the meaning of the Fourth Amendment and as such this seziure is unlawful as it was and is based on a government created crime "intereference with government operations" which in no way relates to the initial reason given for approaching the defendant and his vehicle.

- 8. The prohibition of the Fourth Amendment against searchs and seizures not supported by some objective justification governs all seizures of the person including seizures involving only a brief detention short of a traditional arrest. Smith v. Ohio, 494 U.S. 541, 110 S.Ct. 1288, 108 L.Ed. 464 (1990); U.S. v. Willis, 37 F.3d 313, 7th Cir (1994).
- 9. Probable cause to justify a search must be determined by the existence of <u>facts known</u> to the officer before, not after the search, i.e. events leading up to the search to give one a resonable suspicion that contraband of some kind might be found.
- 10. Even if the court found that the officers actions were not against the course of the common law and that a nexus did exist their seziure of any evidecne would fall under the fruit of a poisinous tree doctrine, as there was only probable cause to cite the defendant for illegally parking his vehcicle, not what the officers would use to pat search the defendant, of "interference of government opperations." These officers when 'arresting' the defendant for his "interference" conducted a pat frisk search and recovered no contraband of any kind off of the defendants person.
- 11. After the defendant refused to grant permission to search his vehicle, while the passenger was still at the scene, called in for a k-9 unit, to conduct a sniff search, this alone is outside the scope of their initial investigatory stop for an illegally parked vehicle.
- 12. The court must on de novo review look at the resonableness and the time sequence of the initial stop to when the officers arrested the defendant on an "obstruction" charge, when they were only within the real of their initial proceedings allowed to cite the defendant for illegally parking his vehicle. A seizure occures when luggage is detained in order for such a sniff to be conducted, Thus a law enforcement officer who detains luggage, or a vehicle while locating a narcotics detection dog, must at least have resonable suspicion based on specific and articulable facts that the bag, vehicle, or person contains the

contraband. Furthermore, the detention of a passnegers bags without a warrant constitutes an unresonable search when the government fails to act diligently in obtaining a dog. (Amer. Juris. §8)

There being no nexus to criminal activity, or contraband 13 on the person of the defendant, the officers lacked sufficient evidence to search the defendants vehicle and subsequently "find" any contraband.

WHEREFOER For the forgoing reasons the Defendant Marvin C. Thompson respectfully moves this Honorable Court for the suppression of the evidence obtained by unlawful search and seziure from the defendants vehicle by Lt. Woods.

Submitted on this ___ day of 2007

Respectfully Submitted

Marvin C. Thompson, pro se Register No. 84302-020 Federal Correctional Institute FCI OAKDALE Po Box 5000 Oakdale, Louisiana 71463

Mation Sent



The Law Center 9 LaFayette Street North LaFayette, Alabama 36862

> Phone: (334) 864-5293 Fax: (334) 864-5300 deanlaw@bellsouth.net

November 27, 2006

Marvin Thompson #84302020 Oakdale Federal Correctional Institution P.O. Box 5000 Vernon Unit 2 Oakdale, LA 71463

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Your case here is at a standstill until you are released. The judge will not hear anything without your presence. There is a plea offer from the district attorney for 13 months probation but the judge will not take a plea without your presence. I will keep a copy of the plea offer in the file so you will have it once you are released.

You have failed to live up to your end of the deal for my representation. You have paid \$1600.00 and that's it. I am now very upside down in this case and will file a motion to withdraw. The cost of the transcript of your preliminary hearing was \$190.10 which I paid out of my own pocket. I will be glad to send you a copy once I receive payment for the transcript. A copy of the bill is enclosed.



IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF CHAMBERS COUNTY, ALABAMA

STATE OF ALABAMA, Plaintiff,)	
VS.)	Case No. CC-06-339
MARVIN THOMPSON, Defendant)	

<u>ORDER</u>

This matter coming before the Court on a Motion to Withdraw filed by Brent L. Dean and the Court having considered the same, it is ORDERED that said motion is GRANTED. Mr. Brent L. Dean is allowed to withdraw as counsel for the Defendant.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to mail a copy of this Order to the Office of the District Attorney, Mr. Brent L. Dean and to the Defendant.

DONE this the 5th day of December, 2006.

FILED IN OFFICE THIS 5 2006 EC CHARLES W. STORY CIRCUIT CLERK **CHAMBERS COUNTY, ALABAMA**

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF CHAMBERS COUNTY, ALABAMA

STATE OF ALABAMA, Plaintiff,)	
VS.) Case No. CC-06-33	9
MARVIN THOMPSON, Defendant.)))	

ORDER

Upon Motion for Appointment of Counsel filed pro se by the Defendant, it is Ordered that Mr. Roland Sledge, Attorney at Law, is hereby appointed to represent the Defendant in these proceedings. The Motion for Discovery filed by the Defendant, pro se, shall be forwarded to defense counsel for review.

The Clerk of the Court is to mail a copy of this Order to the Office of the District Attorney and to Mr. Roland Sledge.

SIGNED this 2nd day of February, 2007.

TOM F. YOUNG, JR. Circuit Judge

